

# CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

1430 N Street, Room 5111  
P.O. Box 944272  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2720  
916-319-0827



January 31, 2003

TO: Members, State Board of Education

FR: Deborah Franklin, Education Policy Consultant

RE: Board Staff Recommendation on Item 13: Approval of Training Providers for the Principal Training Program (AB 75)

The Department of Education staff is recommending for approval as AB 75 providers the entities presented in the supplemental agenda materials. State Board staff does not concur with most of the recommendations regarding Module 1. The Board staff recommendations are presented below.

Module 1 and Modules 1, 2, and 3 (Integrated Curriculum Model)

Module 1 of AB 75 training was envisioned as the principal's counterpart to AB 466 teacher professional development, and its goal was to assist principals in their role as curriculum and instructional leaders. State Board staff has been alerted to a number of concerns about Module 1 training under AB 75, primarily its congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development and the sufficiency of its focus on the adopted instructional materials. To address these concerns, the training materials are undergoing review, reevaluation, and revisions by their authors. All but three of the Department-recommended Module 1 and Module 1, 2 and 3 Integrated Curriculum Model providers are modeled on the training materials that are currently being revised.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Given this revision effort, State Board staff recommends provisional approval of the following Module 1 and Module 1, 2 and 3 Integrated Curriculum Model providers (which are based on models currently under revision). Final approval would be conditioned on revisions to address concerns with (1) congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development and (2) sufficiency of focus on the adopted instructional materials. State Board staff recommends that the State Board authorize the Executive Director to certify that the conditions have been met and the provider may be listed as an approved AB 75 Principal Training Provider.

CCSESA Region IV	Riverside COE
Imperial COE	San Diego COE
Los Angeles COE	San Joaquin COE
Madera COE	Santa Clara COE
Monterey COE	San Bernardino COE
Orange COE	Stanislaus COE
Placer COE	Ventura COE

Department staff also recommended approval of three organizations that submitted training materials that are not based on models currently being revised. State Board staff concurs with the approval recommendation for one of those organizations, Sacramento County Office of Education, based on its sufficiency of focus on the adopted instructional materials and congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development.

State Board staff does not concur with the Department of Education staff on its approval recommendation for Dataworks Educational Research because the training materials lack sufficient focus on the adopted instructional materials and are not congruent or consistent with AB 466 professional development. State Board staff recommends further review of the training materials submitted by Etiwanda School District. Because no additional review of AB 75 applications has been scheduled, Board staff also recommends provisional approval of Etiwanda School District so that it can be revised to fully meet the AB 75 criteria, specifically congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development and sufficiency of focus on the adopted instructional materials.

**RECOMMENDATION:** State Board staff recommends (1) approval of the Sacramento County Office of Education as a provider of Module 1 AB 75 Principal Training; (2) disapproval of Dataworks Educational Research as a provider of Module 1 AB 75 Principal Training; and (3) provisional approval of Etiwanda School District as a provider of Module 1 AB 75 Principal Training with final approval conditioned on its congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development and sufficiency of focus on the adopted instructional materials. State Board staff recommends that the State Board authorize the Executive Director to certify that the conditions have been met and the provider can be listed as an approved AB 75 Principal Training Provider.

### Module 3

Board staff concurs with the Department recommendation to approve Etiwanda School District and San Bernardino County Office of Education as providers of AB 75 Module 3 Principal Training.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Approve the Department staff recommendation to approve Etiwanda School District and San Bernardino County Office of Education as providers of AB 75 Module 3 Principal Training.

### Future Board Discussion and Possible Action

State Board staff recommends a review of the current State Board-adopted criteria and guidelines for AB 75 providers to ascertain whether additional guidance for applicants is necessary to clarify the requirements for congruency and consistency with AB 466 professional development and sufficiency of focus on the adopted instructional materials. Department staff and other stakeholders would assist in the review. If additional guidance or clarification is found to be necessary, State Board staff will present a proposal to the State Board.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Direct State Board staff to work with Department staff and other appropriate stakeholders to review the criteria and guidelines for AB 75 Principal Training providers and, if necessary, propose clarifications or additional guidance.